PETER HULSE

Medea's Passion and Rhetoric: Two Notes on P.Oxy. 5428

aus: Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 218 (2021) 42–44

© Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn

Medea's Passion and Rhetoric: Two Notes on P.Oxy. 5428

These two notes attempt to strengthen the case for the adoption of two new readings that the recent publication of P.Oxy. 5428 (Benaissa, Slattery, and Henry 2019), containing lines 1020–50 of Book 4 of the *Argonautica* of Apollonius Rhodius, has brought to light.

The First Reading

Jason and the Argonauts, along with Medea, have reached Drepane (Scherie) and the court of Alcinoos and Arete. The other band of pursuing Colchians¹ arrives and demands that Medea should be surrendered to them, so that they can take her back to Aietes. She throws herself first on the mercy of Arete and then she makes an impassioned plea to each of the Argonauts:

1. The Text

ςτυγερὸν δέ με τάρβος ἔπειςεν τῆςδε φυγῆς μνήςαςθαι, ὅτ᾽ ἤλιτον, οὐδέ τις ἄλλη μῆτις ἔην. ἔτι μοι μίτρη μένει, ὡς ἐνὶ πατρός 1025 δώμαςιν, ἄχραντος καὶ ἀκήρατος ...

... ετυγερὴ δὲ εὺν ὀθνείοιε ἀλάλημαι. δείεατε ευνθεείαε τε καὶ ὅρκια, δείεατ' Ἐρινύν

Ίκεείην νέμεείν τε θεῶν, εἰς χεῖρας ἰοῦςαν
Αἰήτεω λώβηι πολυπήμονι δηϊωθῆναι.

Horrible fear persuaded me to think of this escape, once I went wrong and had no other recourse. The belt of my virginity remains just as it was in my father's house, undefiled and untouched ... I wander with strangers. Beware your agreements and oaths, beware the Fury of suppliants and the resentment of the gods, if I fall into Aietes' hands and am put to death with horrible suffering.

Ap. Rhod. Arg. 4.1022-25, 1041-44

2. The Papyrus

ςτυγ]ερον [δε με τάρβος επει]ς[ε] μνη]ςαςθαι οτ' ηλι[τον ουδε τις άλλη] εη]ν ε[τι μ]οι μιτρη μενει ω[ς] εν[ι 1025] ς άχραν[το]ς και ακηρατος

P.Oxy. 5428 offers a possible new reading in 1025 (Benaissa, Slattery, and Henry 2019, 114): Instead of δώματιν (unnecessary with ἐνὶ πατρός, cf. 4.1004 ἑοῦ ἐς πατρὸς ἄγεςθαι²) the papyrus seems to show the remains of a lunate sigma (C), suggesting perhaps παρθεν]ος. Pace the editio princeps: "the specifi-

¹ The Colchian pursuit divides into two bands (cf. *Arg.* 4.303–4). One group chases the Argonauts across Europe, along the River Istros (Danube). This group, taking the route through the Dark Rocks, are not seen again until they appear here in Drepane.

² Noted by ed. princeps ad loc.; cf. also Arg. 4.1079.

cation 'maidenly' is not needed", the close parallel (not mentioned *ibid.*), Moschus, *Europa* 72–3 οὐ μὲν δηρὸν ἔμελλεν … Ι οὐδ' ἄρα παρθενίην μίτρην ἄχραντον ἔρυςθαι, 'not for long was she to … or keep her virgin girdle undefiled' seems to offer strong support for the new reading. For παρθένος used as an adjective add to LSJ s.v. AII (in addition to Eur. *Hipp.* 1006 παρθένον ψυχὴν ἔχων, *Epigr. Gr.* 319.3 = Kaibel p. 122) Eur. *Heracl.* 783 ὑπὸ παρθένων ἰαχεῖ ποδῶν κρότοις *Ion* 270 ἐς παρθένους γε χεῖρας, *Phoen.* 838 παρθένφ χερί. Moschus' imitation of Apollonius seems to be a reversal of Medea's words.³ She has, so far, preserved her virginity, while Europa is about to lose hers. Two very different heroines! The use of παρθένος, if accepted, in the emphatic first position in the line, rather than the unnecessary δώμας (n. 1 above) would be very much in keeping with Apollonius' use of enjambment. "'Necessary enjambment', that is when syntax forbids any strong break at the end of a verse, is a feature of nearly one half of the *Argonautica*, whereas the Homeric figure is around 30%" (Hunter 2015, 26). It would be rare indeed, in a speech so full of rhetoric, for Apollonius not to use this stylistic feature to make an emphatic point.⁴ The case for accepting παρθένος may be further strengthened by comparing similarly phrased *Arg.* 2.501–2 εὕαδε γάρ οἱ Ι παρθενίη καὶ λέκτρον ἀκήρατον.⁵

There is also perhaps a link with Arg. 4.1298-9 ἔκτοθι | πέτρης χηραμοῦ, 'from a hollow rock', which is based on Il. 21.494-5 κοίλην εἰς έπτατο πέτρην | χηραμόν, '(the dove) flew into the hollow rock', where there was ancient scholarly discussion of the interpretation of χηραμός. The scholia explain κοίλην ... πέτρην as a gloss on χηραμόν. Some may have taken it as a two-termination adjective. Apollonius seems to reflect this by using χηραμός as an adjective at line 1299 but as a noun at 1452.7 His possible use of παρθένος here, as a two-termination adjective, may be a similar case, also linked to another strand of Hellenistic scholarly discussion, concerned with Homer's apparent occasional use of masculine adjectives with feminine nouns. A scribe who did not understand this might well have replaced π αρθένος with the easier δ ώμαςιν.

The Second Reading

Line 1043 εἰc χεῖραc ἰοῦcαν: the syntax and the sense of this are difficult. The problem may have been solved by the papyrus which, according to *editio princeps* has $[\alpha\gamma]$ 'τ΄ουcαν, which is to be interpreted as $\alpha\gamma$ deleted and τ added. The reading of Π gives good sense (see *editio princeps ad loc.*) and should be accepted: 'leading (me) into the hands of Aietes to be killed with horrible suffering (λώβηι πολυπήμονι)'. Medea's threats (δείcατε ... δείcατ') are complex. With cυνθεcίαc τε καὶ ὅρκια, she recalls the opening of her remonstrances with Jason against the possibility of handing her over to the other band of pursuing Colchians. The Erinys that she mentions must be, partly, one of the band with whom she threatened Jason

³ For this feature of Hellenistic poetry, sometimes known as *oppositio in imitando*, see further Thomas 1986, 171, 185.

 $^{^4}$ In this speech alone cf. 1018 μαργοςύνης, 1024 μῆτις ἔην and for Apollonian imitation in Moschus, see further Fantuzzi and Hunter 2004, 217.

 $^{^{5}}$ 'for dear to her were her maidenhood and an undefiled bed', with $\pi\alpha\rho\theta$ ενίη occupying the emphatic first position in the line.

 $^{^6}$ Σ^A II. 495b χηραμόν: ὅτι αὐτὸς ἐξηγεῖται, τί ἐςτι χηραμός, ὅτι κοίλη πέτρα, 'he explains what χηραμός is, that it means a hollow rock.'

⁷ See further Hunter 2015, ad loc.

⁸ Rather than some form of his more usual παρθενικός -ή -όν (cf. 4.1106).

⁹ See further (Schironi 2018, 193–4) discussing Aristarchus' treatment of *Il*. 15.626 where he accepted the feminine noun ἀήτη with a masculine epithet, δεινός to mean 'terrible blast'. Schironi also notes Apollonius' use of the Homeric two-termination τυτθός -όν as a feminine at *Arg*. 3.93, 4.832 (also Callim. h. 3.64). For the complex links between Apollonius' text and the Homeric variants transmitted under the name of Aristarchus, see further Rengakos 2008, 251.

¹⁰ "the transmitted ἰοῦcαν offers no satisfactory sense or syntax", Hunter 2015, ad loc.

 $^{^{11}}$ P.Oxy. 5428 appears as Plate V in Vol. LXXXIV: AΓΟΥCAN is very clear, though the signs of correction are visible. A stroke of deletion runs obliquely through the AΓ from mid-left to right with an ι added over the A.

^{12 4.358–9} ποῦ τοι Διὸς Ἱκεςίοιο ὅρκια, ποῦ δὲ μελιχραὶ ὑποςχεςίαι βεβάαςιν; (Medea to Jason), 'Where are your oaths, by Zeus protector of Suppliants? Where have all your sweet promises gone?'

44 P. Hulse

at 4.385–6,¹³ partly, the Erinys that observes the murder of Apsyrtus,¹⁴ and also the Erinys that will avenge Medea, here portraying herself as a suppliant of the Argonauts, if they abandon her to Aietes' mercy.¹⁵

One might expect an Erinys to 'drive' 16 rather than 'lead' a victim but for ἄγω used in the sense of 'leading someone to a bad fate or death' 17 cf. II. 13.602–3 τὸν δ' ἄγε μοῖρα κακὴ θανάτοιο τέλοςδε Ι coì Μενέλαε δαμῆναι ἐν αἰνῆι δηϊοτῆτι, 18 'an evil fate was leading him to the end of death, to be slain by you, Menelaus, in the dread conflict', 2.834 κῆρες ἄγον θανάτοιο, 'for the evil spirits of death were leading them on', 19 Aesch. Cho. βοᾶι γὰρ λοιγὸς Ἐρινὸν Ι παρὰ τῶν πρότερον φθιμένων ἄτην Ι ἑτέραν ἐπάγουςαν ἐπ' ἄτηι, 'for slaughter cries out for a Fury who comes from those who perished before to bring further ruin upon ruin'. To speak of a Fury 'leading' its victim is, indeed, appropriate when one considers the ultimate fate of the Argonautic (and Euripidean) Medea and shows Apollonius sensitive to a subtle nuance of the literary language. Overall, this papyrus fragment again warns us against over-confidence in the transmitted text of the Argonautica. 20

Bibliography

Benaissa, A., Slattery, S., and Henry, W. B., eds. 2019. The Oxyrhynchus Papyri Vol. LXXXIV. London.

Fantuzzi, M., and Hunter, R. 2004. Tradition and Innovation in Hellenistic Poetry. Cambridge.

Griffin, J. 1983. Homer on Life and Death. Oxford.

Hunter, R. 2015. Apollonius of Rhodes, Argonautica Book IV. Cambridge.

Kaibel, G. 1878. Epigrammata Graeca ex lapidibus conlecta. Berlin.

Rengakos, A. 2008. Apollonius Rhodius as a Homeric Scholar. In *Brill's Companion to Apollonius Rhodius*, Second edition. Leiden: 193–216.

Schironi, F. 2018. The Best of the Grammarians: Aristarchus of Samothrace on the Iliad. Michigan.

Sewell-Rutter, N. J. 2007. Erinyes. Guilt by Descent. Oxford.

Thomas, R. F. 1986. Virgil's Georgics and the Art of Reference. Harvard Studies in Classical Philology 90: 171-98.

Peter Hulse, University of Nottingham, UK peter@hulse.plus.com

¹³ ἐκ δέ cε πάτρης | αὐτίκ' ἐμαί c' ἐλάcειαν Ἐρινύες, 'May my Furies drive you straight from your homeland.'

 $^{^{14}}$ 4.475–6 ὀξὸ δὲ πανδαμάτωρ λοξῷ ἴδεν οἷον ἔρεξαν | ὄμματι νηλειὴς ὀλοφώιον ἔργον Ἐρινός, 'And with swift sideglance the irresistible pitiless Fury beheld the deadly deed they had done.'

¹⁵ So, ed. princeps, ibid. 114.

¹⁶ For the idea of being 'driven' by the Erinyes cf. Aesch. Cho. 1062, Eum. 210, 421.

¹⁷ For fate or the gods 'calling' or 'leading' a man to his death, see further Griffin 1983, 42–43.

¹⁸ The use of the infinitive of purpose δαμῆναι is similar to Apollonius' δηωθῆναι (1044).

¹⁹ On the connection between Erinyes and Keres, see further (Sewell–Rutter 2007, 80), quoting Eur. *El.* 1252: Orestes is to be hounded by κῆρες ... αἱ κυνώπιδες θεαί ('the keres, the dog-faced goddesses').

²⁰ So, Hunter 2015, 27.